arXiv:1601.02248v2 [math.DG] 19 Jan 2016

FRAME BUNDLE APPROACH TO GENERALIZED MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS

KAMIL NIEDZIAŁOMSKI

Abstract. We extend the notion of r–minimality of a submanifold in arbitrary codimension to u–minimality for a multi–index $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$, where q is the codimension. This approach is based on the analysis on the frame bundle of orthonormal frames of the normal bundle to a submanifold and vector bundles associated with this bundle. The notion of u–minimality comes from the variation of σ_u–symmetric function obtained from the family of shape operators corresponding to all possible bases of the normal bundle. We obtain the variation field, which gives alternative definition of u–minimality. Finally, we give some examples of u–minimal submanifolds for some choices of u and state some relations between generalized symmetric functions σ_u.

1. Introduction

The notion of minimality of a submanifold has long history. It has been considered by many authors within many contexts. Minimality can be defined by vanishing of the mean curvature – the trace of the second fundamental form. The other possible extrinsic conditions we may impose on a submanifold are: total geodesicity, when second fundamental form vanishes, and total umbilicity, when the second fundamental form is proportional to the mean curvature vector.

While studying hypersurfaces, i.e. codimension one submanifolds, we may consider more invariants, which come from eigenvalues of the second fundamental form. We define the r–th curvature as a r–th symmetric function of the principal curvatures. This has been extensively considered since Reilly's work [17]. Let us briethly recall his approach. Let L be a hypersurface in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the Levi–Civita connection ∇. Denote by B the second fundamental form and by $A = A^N$ the shape operator corresponding to a choice of normal vector N,

$$B(X, Y) = (\nabla_X Y)^\perp, \quad g(A(X), Y) = g(B(X, Y), N), \quad X, Y \in TL.$$

The symmetric function S_r of A is defined by the characteristic polynomial

$$\chi_A(t) = \det(I + tA) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} S_r t^r,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40; 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Submanifold; mean curvatures; first variation; u–minimal submanifold; generalized Newton transformation.

where $n = \dim L$. It is a remarkable observation that the variation of S_r is described by the Newton transformation $T_r = T_r(A)$. Namely, let

$$T_r = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j S_j A^{r-j}, \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} S_r(t) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{d}{dt} A(t) \cdot T_{r-1} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $S_r(t)$ is a one parameter family of symmetric functions corresponding to a family of operators $A(t)$, which satisfies $A(0) = A$ [17]. With the use of the above formula Reilly obtained the variation of the integral of S_r in the case of M being of constant sectional curvature. The Euler–Lagrange equation defines the notion of r–minimality. Studies on submanifolds satisfying certain conditions involving r–th mean curvature has been recently very fruitful [1, 2, 7, 8, 13]. Analogous considerations has been also led in the case of foliations [7, 4].

There has been several attempts to generalize this approach to submanifolds of arbitrary codimension (see [18, 10, 11]) and to the case of arbitrary foliations [9, 5]. The problems which appear are the following. First of all, the normal bundle to a submanifold is not trivial in a sense that the covariant derivative $\nabla^\perp$ does not annihilate unit vector fields. Secondly, there is no canonical choice of the orthonormal basis in the normal bundle. The second problem has been overcome (see [18, 14]) by introducing transformations which depend only on the points on the manifold,

$$(T_r)^i_j = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_r} \delta^{i_1\ldots,i_r i}_{j_1\ldots,j_r j} g(B_{i_1 j_1}, B_{i_2 j_2}) \ldots g(B_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}, B_{i_r j_r})$$

for r even, and

$$(T^\alpha_r)^i_j = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_r} \delta^{i_1\ldots,i_r i}_{j_1\ldots,j_r j} g(B_{i_1 j_1}, B_{i_2 j_2}) \ldots g(B_{i_{r-2} j_{r-2}}, B_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}) (A^\alpha)^i_j$$

for r odd, where $\delta^{i_1,\ldots,i_r}_{j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ is the generalized Kronecker symbol and $B_{ij} = B(e_i, e_j)$ for some choice of orthonormal basis (e_i). Then

$$S_r = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (T^\alpha_{r-1})^i_j (A^\alpha)^j_i \qquad \text{for } r \text{ even},$$

$$\mathbf{S}_r = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (T_{r-1})^i_j (A^\alpha)^j_i e_\alpha \qquad \text{for } r \text{ odd},$$

denote the r–th symmetric functions of curvatures (for r odd the r–th mean curvature is a vector field). In [10] the authors studied r–th minimality and stability with respect to these generalized transformations. The stability conditions led to non–existence results of stable minimal submanifolds on spheres [22, 10].

In this article, we define the notion of minimality associated with the generalized Newton transformation introduced by K. Andrzejewski, W. Kozłowski and

the author [3]. The idea of comes from then definition of symmetric functions associated with a system $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_q)$ of matrices (endomorphisms),

$$\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_u \sigma_u \mathbf{t}^u,$$

where $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_q) \in \mathbb{N}^q$ is a multi–index, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_q)$ and $\mathbf{t}^u = t_1^{u_1} \ldots, t_q^{u_q}$. Generalized Newton transformation $T_u = T_u(\mathbf{A})$ depends on the multi–index u and a system of endomorphisms $\mathbf{A}$ and its recursive definition is the following

$$T_{\mathbf{0}} = I, \quad T_u = \sum_\alpha A_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)},$$

where $\alpha_\flat(u)$ is a multi–index obtained from u by subtracting 1 in the α–th coordinate. Moreover, it satisfies analogue of the condition (1.1), namely,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_u(t) = \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{d}{dt}A_\alpha(t) \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right).$$

With the use of above characterization of T_u we study the variation of σ_u. In this setting, σ_u are symmetric functions of the family of shape operators $(A^{e_1}, \ldots, A^{e_q})$ corresponding to the choice of the orthonormal basis (e_α) in the normal bundle to $L \subset M$. Thus σ_u are functions on the principal bundle P of all orthonormal frames over the submanifold. The integrals of σ_u over P with respect to normalized measure are called total extrinsic curvatures and denoted by $\hat{\sigma_u}$.

Notice, that in the case of distributions and foliations different types of curvatures has been recently considered [21, 20], mainly, in order obtain integral formulas. The ones used in this context have been introduced by K. Andrzejewski, W. Kozłowski and the author [3] for distributions, not to study generalized minimality, but to obtain different types of integral formulas related to extrinsic geometry.

In this article, we derive the formula for the variation $\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\sigma}_u$. We show that at $t = 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\sigma}_u = \int_L g(R_u - S_u, V)\, d\mathrm{vol}_L,$$

where V is the variation field and R_u, S_u are integrals over the fibers of the following sections of the normal bundle to L over P,

$$\mathbf{R}_u = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}((R(e_\alpha, \cdot)e_\beta)^\top T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})e_\beta, \quad \mathbf{S}_u = \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha T_u)e_\alpha,$$

respectively. Thus the condition of u–minimality equals $R_u = S_u$ (Theorem 5.6). In the case of M being a space form with sectional curvature c, $\mathbf{R}_u$ reduces to $c(n - |u| + 1)\mathbf{H}_u$, where

$$\mathbf{H_u} = \sum_\alpha \sigma_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_\alpha.$$

These results generalize the codimension one results by Reilly [17] and, in a sense, the results of Cao and Li [10]. This is due to the fact that T_u in codimension one reduces to the classical Newton transformation T_r, whereas transformations T_r in arbitrary codimension used in [10] are obtained from T_u for multi–indices of length $|u| = r$ (see [3]). In the end, we give some examples of u–minimal submanifolds.

Among others, we show that **0**–minimality is equivalent to classical notion of minimality.

Throughout the paper, we use the following index convention:

$$i, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim L; \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = q+1, q+2, \ldots, \dim M.$$

2. Generalized Newton transformation and its basic properties

In [3] the authors introduced the notion of the generalized Newton transformation in order to study geometry of foliations of codimension higher than one. This transformation generalizes the classical Newton transformation to the case of finite family of operators. Let us recall this notion and state its properties.

Fix a positive integer q and let $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$ ($\mathbb{N}$ denotes here the set of all non–negative integers). We define the length of u by $|u| = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots, +u_q$. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_q)$ be a family of square n by n operators (matrices) on some vector space V. Then we can consider the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ of the form

$$\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t}) = \det(I + \mathbf{t}\mathbf{A}),$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_q)$ and $\mathbf{t}\mathbf{A} = t_1 A_1 + t_2 A_2 + \ldots + t_q A_q$. Clearly,

$$\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{|u| \leq n} \sigma_u(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{t}^u,$$

for some constants $\sigma_u = \sigma_u(\mathbf{A})$, where $\mathbf{t}^u = t_1^{u_1} t_2^{u_2} \ldots t_q^{u_q}$ for $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_q)$. We call σ_u the symmetric functions associated with the system $\mathbf{A}$.

Definition 1. A system $T_u = T_u(\mathbf{A})$, $|u| \leq n$, of endomorphisms is called the *generalized Newton transformation* if the following condition holds: Let $\mathbf{A}(t)$ be a one parameter family of operators such that $\mathbf{A}(0) = \mathbf{A}$ and let $\sigma_u(t)$ be the corresponding symmetric functions. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_u(t)_{t=0} = \sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{d}{dt} A_\alpha(t)_{t=0} \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha_\flat(u)$ denotes the multi–index obtained from u by subtracting 1 in the α–th coordinate.

One can show that $(T_u)_{|u| \leq n}$ exists and is unique [3]. Moreover, it can be characterized by the following recursive properties

$$\begin{aligned} &T_0 = I, && 0 = (0, 0, \ldots, 0), \\ &T_u = \sigma_u I - \sum_\alpha A_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} \\ &\quad = \sigma_u I - \sum_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} A_\alpha, && |u| \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The generalized Newton transformation has the following useful properties [3].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_q)$ be a family of operators on a vector space V. Let (T_u) be the generalized Newton transformation associated with $\mathbf{A}$*

and let σ_u be the corresponding symmetric functions. Then the following relations hold

$$|u|\sigma_u = \sum_\alpha \mathrm{tr}(A_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}),$$
$$\mathrm{tr}T_u = (n-|u|)\sigma_u.$$

One can obtain the direct formula for T_u and for σ_u (see [3], eq. (3) and (10)).

In the Section 6 devoted to examples we will need the following algebraic relation. Let us first recall necessary notation. For a multi–index $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$ and $p = |u|$ let

$$\binom{p}{u} = \frac{p!}{u_1! \dots u_q!}.$$

Moreover, we write $v \leq u$ for two mulit–indices $u, v, \in \mathbb{N}^q$ if $u - v \in \mathbb{N}^q$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let A be an endomorphisms of n–dimensional vector space V. Let $\mathbf{A} = (\rho_1 A + \mu_1 I, \dots, \rho_q A + \mu_q I)$ be a system of endomorphisms, where $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_q)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)$ are fixed elements of $\mathbb{R}^q$. Then, for any multi–index $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$,*

$$\sigma_u(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{(n-|u|)!} \sum_{v \leq u} \binom{n-|u|+|v|}{v} \binom{|u|-|v|}{u-v} \rho^{u-v} \mu^v \sigma_{|u|-|v|}(A). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Assume first that $\mathbf{A} = (A_1 + \mu_1 I, A_2, \dots, A_q)$ and $\mathbf{A}_0 = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_q)$ for some endomorphisms $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_q$. Then the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t}) &= \det(I + t_1(A_1 + \mu I) + t_2 A_2 + \ldots + t_q A_q) \\
&= \det((1 + t_1\mu) I + \mathbf{t}\mathbf{A}_0) \\
&= (1 + t_1\mu)^n \det\left(I + \frac{\mathbf{t}}{1 + t_1\mu}\mathbf{A}_0\right) \\
&= (1 + t_1\mu)^n \sum_u \sigma_u(\mathbf{A}_0)(1 + t_1\mu)^{-|u|}\mathbf{t}^u \\
&= \sum_u \sigma_u(\mathbf{A}_0)(1 + t_1\mu)^{n-|u|}\mathbf{t}^u \\
&= \sum_u \sum_{j=0}^{n-|u|} \sigma_u(\mathbf{A}_0) \binom{n-|u|}{j} \mu^j t_1^j \mathbf{t}^u.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sigma_u(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j=0}^{u_1} \binom{n-|u|+j}{j} \mu^j \sigma_{1_\flat^j(u)}(\mathbf{A}_0).$$

Applying above formula consecutively to each 'coordinate' we get

$$\sigma_u(A_1 + \mu_1 I, \dots, A_q + \mu_q I) = \frac{1}{(n-|u|)!} \sum_{v \leq u} \binom{n-|u|+|v|}{v} \mu^v \sigma_{u-v}(\mathbf{A}_0). \tag{2.4}$$

By the formula $\sigma_u(A, A, A_3, \dots, A_q) = \binom{u_1+u_2}{u_1}\sigma_{(u_1+u_2,u_3,\dots,u_q)}(A, A_3, \dots, A_q)$ (see [21]) we have

$$\sigma_u(A, A, \dots, A) = \binom{|u|}{u}\sigma_{|u|}(A). \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, (2.4), (2.5) and the formula $\sigma_u(aA_1, \dots, A_q) = a^{u_1}\sigma_u(\mathbf{A}_0)$ imply (2.3). □

3. Frame bundle approach to submanifold geometry

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, L a codimension q immersed submanifold of M, i.e., we have a surjective immersion $\varphi : L \to M$. The Riemannian metric on L is such that φ becomes an isometry and, therefore, we often identify L with its image $\varphi(L) \subset M$. Consider the pull–back bundle $\varphi^{-1}TM$ over L with the fiber

$$(\varphi^{-1}TM)_p = T_{\varphi(p)}M, \quad p \in L.$$

In other words, $\varphi^{-1}TM$ is a tangent bundle of M restricted to L. There is the unique connection ∇^φ in this bundle satisfying the following condition (see, for example, [6])


$$\nabla^\varphi_X \varphi_* Y = \nabla_X Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TL),$$

where ∇ is the Levi–Civita connection on L.

The bundle $\varphi^{-1}TM$ splits into two bundles $\varphi^{-1}T^\top L$ and $\varphi^{-1}T^\perp L$ with respect to the decomposition

$$T_{\varphi(p)}M = T_{\varphi(p)}\varphi(L) \oplus (T_{\varphi(p)}\varphi(L))^\perp, \quad p \in L.$$

Denote by $\nabla^{\varphi,\top}$ and $\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}$ the induced connections in these bundles, respectively. Notice that $\varphi^{-1}T^\top L$ is isomorphic to TL via φ_*.



Fix a local section $N \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}T^\perp L)$. Then N induces a shape operator $A^N : TL \to TL$ by the formula

$$\varphi_* A^N(X) = \left(\nabla^\varphi_X N\right)^\top, \quad X \in TL.$$

Thus $A \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(TL))$.

Let now $P = O(\varphi^{-1}T^\perp L)$ (or $P = SO(\varphi^{-1}T^\perp L)$ assuming L is transversally orientable) be a bundle of (oriented) orthonormal frames of $\varphi^{-1}T^\perp L$. Alternatively, $\Gamma(P)$ consists of all sections, which assign an orthonormal basis $(p, e) = (e_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\dots,q}$, where $e_\alpha \in T^\perp_{\varphi(p)}L$, to any point $p \in L$. For a fixed element (p, e) of P we may consider a family of shape operators

$$\mathbf{A}(p, e) = (A^{e_1}, A^{e_2}, \dots, A^{e_q}).$$

To each such family we can associate the symmetric functions $\sigma_u(p, e) = \sigma_u(\mathbf{A}(p, e))$, where $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q) \in \mathbb{N}^q$ is a fixed multi–index. Thus $\sigma_u \in C^\infty(P)$.

Each fiber P_p, $p \in L$, can be identified with the structure group $G = O(q)$ (or $G = SO(q)$, respectively). Considering a (normalized) Haar measure on G we define an integral of any smooth function $f : P \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\int_{P_p} f \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_x} = \int_G f(e_0 g)\, dg,$$

where e_0 is a fixed element of P_p. One can show that this definition is independent of the choice of e_0. Therefore σ_u induces a smooth function $\hat{\sigma}_u$ on L,

$$\hat{\sigma}_u(p) = \int_{P_p} \sigma(p,e)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}(p,e), \quad p \in L.$$

We call $\hat{\sigma}_u$ the *generalized extrinsic curvature* of L or *u–extrinsic curvature*. For some choices of u the generalized extrinsic curvatures vanish, but there are many multi–indices u for which $\hat{\sigma_u}$ is non–zero and gives information on the submanifold (see the Section 7 – Final Remarks).

Moreover, let $\pi_P^{-1}TM$ be a vector bundle over P with the fiber

$$(\pi_P^{-1}TM)_{(p,e)} = T_{\varphi(p)}M.$$

This bundle splits into direct (orthogonal) sum of bundles E and E' with respect to the decomposition $T_{\varphi(p)}M = T_{\varphi(p)}L \oplus (T_{\varphi(p)}L)^{\perp}$. In other words,

$$E_{(p,e)} = (\varphi^{-1}T^{\top}L)_p, \quad E'_{(p,e)} = (\varphi^{-1}T^{\perp}L)_p.$$

The connection ∇^{φ} induces, with respect to the above decomposition, two connections ∇^E and $\nabla^{E'}$ in bundles E and E', respectively. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\nabla^E_W A\right)_\alpha Y &= \left(\nabla^E_W A_\alpha\right) Y - A^{\nabla^{E'}_W e_\alpha} Y \\ &= \nabla^E_W (A_\alpha Y) - A_\alpha(\nabla^E_W Y) - A^{\nabla^{E'}_W e_\alpha} Y,\end{aligned}$$

where we treat e_α as a section of E'. Moreover, recall the Codazzi formula

$$(R^{\varphi}(X,Y)N)^{\top} = (\nabla^E_Y A)^N X - (\nabla^E_X A)^N Y, \quad X,Y \in TP, \quad N \in E'. \tag{3.1}$$

The key fact about differentiation of symmetric functions σ_u in the horizontal direction follows by the definition (2.1) of generalized Newton transformation and is given below. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the horizontal distribution in P induced by the connection $\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The following formula holds*

$$W\sigma_u = \sum_\alpha \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(\nabla^E_W A\right)_\alpha \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right), \quad W \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Fix a basis (p,e) and let $W \in \mathcal{H}_{(p,e)}$. Then $W = X^h_{(p,e)}$ for some $X \in T_pL$. Let γ be a curve on L such that $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = X$. Choose a local section s of P parallel at (p,e). Then (see the Appendix) at (p,e) we may write

$$(\nabla^E_W A)_\alpha Y = \nabla^{\varphi,\top}_X (A^{e_\alpha} Y \circ s) - A^{e_\alpha}(\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_X (Y \circ s)) - A^{\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_X e_\alpha}(Y \circ s).$$

Consider a family $\mathbf{B}(t) = (B_1(t), \ldots, B_q(t))$ of endomorphisms of T_pL given by the formula

$$B_\alpha(t) = (\tau_t^{\top})^{-1} \circ A^{\tau_t^{\perp}(e_\alpha)} \circ \tau_t^{\top},$$

where $\tau_t^\top : T_pL \to T_{\gamma(t)}L$ and $\tau_t^\perp : T_p^\perp L \to T_{\gamma(t)}^\perp L$ denote the parallel transports along γ in TL and $T^\perp L$, respectively. Since we compute $\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_u(\gamma^h(t))_{t=0}$, then we consider bases (e_α) along $\gamma^h(t)$. Therefore (e_α) at $\gamma^h(t)$ is a parallel transport of $(e_\alpha(p))$. Hence $\tau_t^\perp(e_\alpha(p)) = e_\alpha(\gamma^h(t))$ and $B_\alpha(t) = A_\alpha(\gamma^h(t))$. Moreover, $\frac{d}{dt}B_\alpha(t)_{t=0} = (\nabla^E_W A)_\alpha$, thus by (2.1) we obtain (3.2). □

Let us define for a fixed multi–index $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$ three sections $\mathbf{R}_u, \mathbf{H}_u, \mathbf{S}_u \in \Gamma(E')$. Namely, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_u(p,e) &= -\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(R_{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}) e_\beta, \\ \mathbf{H}_u(p,e) &= \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_\alpha, \\ \mathbf{S}_u(p,e) &= \sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha T_u) e_\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta} : P \to \operatorname{End}(\varphi^{-1}T^\top L)$ is given by

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}(X) = (R(e_\alpha, X)e_\beta)^\top.$$

These sections induce sections R_u, H_u and S_u of $\varphi^{-1}T^\perp L$, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} R_u(p) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}_u}(p) &= \int_{P_p} \mathbf{R}_u(p,e)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}(p,e), \\ H_u(p) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}_u}(p) &= \int_{P_p} \mathbf{H}_u(p,e)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}(p,e), \\ S_u(p) = \widehat{\mathbf{S}_u}(p) &= \int_{P_p} \mathbf{S_u}(p,e)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}(p,e). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The above integrals make sense and are well defined (see the Appendix).

Remark 3.2. Notice that $\mathbf{S}_u$ is defined in the same way as S_{r+1} by Cao and Li [10], whereas we are not aware of the existence of the analogue of $\mathbf{H}_u$ (or H_u) in the literature, except for [3]. In [3] the authors computed divergence of the section $\mathbf{H}_u + \mathbf{W}_u$, where

$$\mathbf{W}_u(p,e) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} T_{\alpha_\flat\beta_\flat(u)}(p,e) \left(\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta\right)_p^\top.$$

and obtained the family of integral formulas, which generalize many formulas relating intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of foliations known in the literature.

In the codimension one case,

$$S_u = (u+1)\sigma_u N, \quad \text{and} \quad H_u = \sigma_{u-1} N, \tag{3.5}$$

where N is a unit normal vector to L.

4. Properties of some differential operators

Consider the notation from the previous section. In this section we define two differential operators, which will appear in the main considerations. These results are an adaptation of Rosenberg's results [19] concerning the classical Newton transformation to the case of the generalized Newton transformation.

Firstly, for any section $W \in \Gamma(E')$ denote by W_α a function on P of the form

$$W_\alpha(p,e) = g(W, e_\alpha)_p, \quad (p,e) \in P.$$

Fix a muliti–index $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$ and consider a differential operator $L_u : \Gamma(E') \to C^\infty(P)$

$$L_u(W) = \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(((\nabla^E)^2 W)_\alpha \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}), \quad W \in \Gamma(E').$$

In other words,

$$L_u(W) = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} g((\nabla^E)^2_{e_i,e_j} W), e_\alpha)(T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})_{ji},$$

where (e_i) is an orthonormal basis on L and B_{ij} denotes the coefficients of the operator $B : TL \to TL$ with respect to this basis. Let us derive the formula for the value of L_u on sections of the form $V \circ \pi_P$, where $V \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}T^\top L)$. Notice that the definition of L_u is tensorial with respect to e_α. Thus, for a fixed point p, extending (e_α) locally to a parallel basis at p, we may write L_u as

$$\begin{aligned} L_u(V \circ \pi_P)_p &= \sum_{\alpha,i} g(\nabla^E_{e_i^h} \nabla^E_{(T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_i)^h}(V \circ \pi_P), e_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,i} g(\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{e_i} \nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_i} V, e_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,i} \operatorname{div}(g(\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_i} V, e_\alpha) e_i), \end{aligned}$$

where we also assume that (e_i) is parallel at p. The quantity $g(\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_i} V, e_\alpha) e_i$ does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis (e_i) and, therefore, is well defined section of E. In particular, it follows that (compare the Proposition 8.2 and the formula (8.2) in the Appendix)

$$\int_P L_u(V \circ \pi_P)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Since, for any function $f \in C^\infty(L)$

$$\sum_{\alpha,i} g(\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_i} V, e_\alpha)(e_i f) = \sum_\alpha g(\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f)} V, e_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f) g(V, e_\alpha),$$

we get

$$\int_P f L_u(V \circ \pi_P)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P = -\sum_\alpha \int_P (T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f))^h V_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_P. \tag{4.2}$$

We will compute the integral of the right hand side of (4.2). Let us begin with preliminary results. These results are generalizations of the ones obtained by Rosenberg [19].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $Y \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}T^\top L)$. The following relation holds*

$$\sum_i g(\nabla^E_{e_i^h} T_u(Y), e_i \circ \pi_P) = \sum_i g(\nabla^E_{T_u(e_i)^h}(Y \circ \pi_P), e_i \circ \pi_P) + K_u(Y), \tag{4.3}$$

where $K_u(Y)$ is given by the following recursive relation

$$K_u(Y) = -\sum_\alpha K_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(A_\alpha Y) - \sum_{i,\alpha} g(R^\varphi(Y, T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}e_i)e_\alpha, e_i) - \sum_{i,\alpha} g(A^{\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}e_\alpha}} Y, e_i)$$

and $K_0(Y) = 0$.

Proof. First, notice that the above relation is tensorial with respect to Y. Fix a basis $(p, e) \in P$ and consider a local section σ of P parallel at (p, e). Then the formula (4.3) can be written at a point (p, e) as

$$\sum_i g(\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_{e_i}(T_u(Y) \circ \sigma), e_i) = \sum_i g(\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_{T_u(e_i)\circ\sigma} Y, e_i) + K_u(Y).$$

We will use induction. Assume that the above formula holds for all multi–indices v of length $|v| < |u|$. We may assume Y is parallel at p with respect to $\nabla^{\varphi,\top}$. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_i g(\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_{e_i} T_u(Y), e_i) = K_u(Y). \tag{4.4}$$

By the recursive definition of T_u, Codazzi equation (3.1), formula (3.2) and inductive assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i g(\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_{e_i} T_u(Y), e_i) &= Y(\sigma_u \circ \pi_P) - \sum_{i,\alpha} g(\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_{e_i} T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} A_\alpha Y, e_i) \\
&= Y(\sigma_u \circ \pi_P) - \sum_{i,\alpha} g((\nabla^{\varphi,\top}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}e_i} A_\alpha) Y, e_i) - \sum_\alpha K_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(A_\alpha Y) \\
&= Y^h \sigma_u - \sum_{i,\alpha} g((\nabla_Y A)_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_i, e_i) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,\alpha} g(R^\varphi(Y, T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}e_i)e_\alpha, e_i) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,\alpha} g(A^{\nabla^{\varphi,\perp}_{T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}e_i} e_\alpha} Y, e_i) - \sum_\alpha K_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(A_\alpha Y) \\
&= K_u(Y),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.4). □

In the Proposition below div_E denotes the divergence of sections of the bundle E and $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi)$ is a Hessian of $\varphi \in C^\infty(P)$ in the horizontal direction (see the Appendix for more details).

Proposition 4.2. *The following relation holds*

$$\mathrm{div}_E(T_u(\nabla f)) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(f \circ \pi_P) \cdot T_u) + K_u(\nabla f), \quad f \in C^\infty(L),$$

for any multi–index $u \in \mathbb{N}(q)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}_E(T_u(\nabla f)) &= \sum_i g(\nabla^E_{e_i^h} T_u(\nabla f), e_i \circ \pi_P)\\ &= \sum_i g(\nabla^E_{T_u(e_i)^h}(\nabla f \circ \pi_P), e_i \circ \pi_P) + K_u(\nabla f)\\ &= \sum_{i,j} g(T_u(e_i), e_j \circ \pi_P) g(\nabla^E_{e_j^h}(\nabla f \circ \pi_P), e_i \circ \pi_P) + K_u(\nabla f)\\ &= \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(f \circ \pi_P) \cdot T_u) + K_u(\nabla f). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Define the operator $L_u^* : C^\infty(P) \to \Gamma(E')$ by

$$L_u^*(\varphi) = \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi) \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right) e_\alpha, \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(P),$$

Let us consider L_u^* on functions $f \circ \pi_P$, where $f \in C^\infty(L)$. By Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_P g(L_u^*(f), W)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P &= \sum_\alpha \int_P \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(f) \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right) W_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_P\\ &= \sum_\alpha \int_P \operatorname{div}_E(T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f)) W_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_P - \sum_\alpha \int_P K_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P\\ &= -\sum_\alpha \int_P \left(T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f)\right)^h W_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_P - \sum_\alpha \int_P K_{\alpha_\flat(u)}(\nabla f)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.2),

$$\int_P (f\circ\pi_P) L_u(V)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P = \int_P g(L_u^*(f\circ\pi_P), V\circ\pi_P)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P + \sum_\alpha \int_P K_{\alpha_\flat}(u)(\nabla f)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P.$$

Notice that (4.1) follows also from the above relation with $f = 1$. Moreover, if $\sum_\alpha K_{\alpha_\flat}(u) = 0$, then L_u and L_u^* are formally vertically adjoint.

5. Generalized minimality

5.1. **Definitions and basic results.** Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $\varphi : L \to M$ an immersed submanifold (possibly with boundary ∂L). Adopt the notation from the previous sections. Fix a multi–index $u \in \mathbb{N}^q$.

Definition 2. We say that L is *u–minimal* if L is a critical point of the variation of generalized extrinsic curvature σ_u of L. We assume that the boundary ∂L is strongly fixed, i.e., the variation field vanishes in some neighborhood of the boundary.

Let us be more precise. Let $\Phi : L \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ be a variation of L, i.e., $\Phi(\cdot, 0) = \varphi$. We will write $\Phi_t = \Phi(\cdot, t)$. We do not assume that Φ is injective. The submanifolds $L_t = \Phi_t(L)$ may have intersection. Each submanifold L_t induces generalized extrinsic curvature $\sigma_u(t)$. Then, L is u–minimal if $\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_u(t)_{t=0} = 0$ for any variation Φ of L such that the variation field $V = \Phi_*(\frac{d}{dt})$ vanishes in some neighborhood of ∂L. Clearly, if L is closed, there is no restriction on V.

In this section we will derive the formula for the variation field for u–minimality. We need to repeat, with some modifications, the bundle approach from the previous section.

Let $\Phi : L \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ be a variation. Put $L_t = \Phi_t(L)$. Induce the Riemannian metric on $L \times \mathbb{R}$ from the Riemannian metric g on M and from the inner product on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(X,Y)_{(p,t)} &= g(\Phi_{*(p,t)}X, \Phi_{*(p,t)}Y), \\ \tilde{g}\left(X, \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_{(p,t)}\right) &= 0, \\ \tilde{g}\left(a\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_{(p,t)}, b\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_{(p,t)}\right) &= ab, \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \in TL$. Then $\Phi_t : L \to L_t$ is, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, an isometry. Denote by ∇ the Levi–Civita connection on M and by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi–Civita connection on $L \times \mathbb{R}$.

Consider now, the pull–back bundle $\Phi^{-1}TM$ over $L \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e. the fiber of this bundle is of the form $(\Phi^{-1}TM)_{(p,t)} = T_{\Phi(p,t)}M$. The decomposition

$$T_{\Phi(p,t)}M = T_{\Phi(p,t)}L_t \oplus T^{\perp}_{\Phi(p,t)}L_t, \tag{5.1}$$

defines the splitting of $\Phi^{-1}TM$ into two bundles denoted by $\Phi^{-1}T^{\top}L$ and $\Phi^{-1}T^{\perp}L$, respectively. Notice that $\Phi^{-1}T^{\top}L$ and $\Phi^{-1}T^{\perp}L$ are not pull–back bundles. There is the unique connection ∇^{Φ} in $\Phi^{-1}TM$ such that

$$\nabla^{\Phi}_Z(Y \circ \varphi) = \nabla_{\Phi_* Z}Y, \quad Z \in T(L \times \mathbb{R}), \quad Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

This connection satisfies the following properties

$$\nabla^{\Phi}_Z \Phi_* W - \nabla^{\Phi}_W \Phi_* Z = \Phi_*[Z,W], \tag{5.2}$$


$$R^{\Phi}(Z,W)(X \circ \Phi) = R(\Phi_* Z, \Phi_* W)X, \tag{5.3}$$

for $Z, W \in T(L \times \mathbb{R})$ and $X \in TM$ [6]. Moreover, the splitting (5.1) and connection ∇^{Φ} induce connections $\nabla^{\Phi,\top}$ and $\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}$ in $\Phi^{-1}T^{\top}L$ and $\Phi^{-1}T^{\perp}L$, respectively.

Denote by $\pi_L : L \times \mathbb{R} \to L$ the projection on L and let $T^0(L \times \mathbb{R})$ be the pull–back bundle $\pi_L^{-1}TL$ over $L \times \mathbb{R}$. In other words, $T^0(L \times \mathbb{R})$ is the subbundle of the tangent bundle $T(L \times \mathbb{R})$ of the vector fields tangent to L. Denote by ∇^0 the pull–back connection on the bundle $T^0(L \times \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\nabla^0_Z(U \circ \pi_L) = \nabla^L_{\pi_{L*}Z}U, \quad Z \in T(L \times \mathbb{R}), \quad U \in \Gamma(TL).$$

Notice, that Φ_* sets the isomorphism of $T^0(L\times\mathbb{R})$ onto $\Phi^{-1}T^\top L$. Summarizing, we have the following diagram:



Moreover, we have

$$\Phi_*(\nabla^0_Z(U\circ\pi_L)) = \Phi_*(\nabla^L_{\pi_{L*}Z}U) = \left(\nabla^\Phi_{\pi_{L*}Z}U\right)^{\top_t} = \nabla^{\Phi,\top}_{\pi_{L*}Z}U,$$

where $\top_t$ denotes the component tangent to L_t. Therefore we have the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *The bundles $T^0(L\times\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi^{-1}T^\top L$ are isomorphic via Φ_*. In addition, Φ_* maps connection ∇^0 to the connection $\nabla^{\Phi,\top}$ in $\Phi^{-1}T^\top L$.*

In further considerations we will need the following useful lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $X\in\Gamma(T^0(L\times\mathbb{R}))$. Then $\left[\frac{d}{dt},X\right]\in\Gamma(T^0(L\times\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. Let (e_i) be a local basis on L. Then $X=\sum_i f_i e_i$, where f_i are functions on $L\times\mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt},X\right] = \sum_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t}e_i,$$

which is a local section of $T^0(L\times\mathbb{R})$. □

Let $N\in\Gamma(\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L)$. Then the family of shape operators of L_t, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, in the direction of N can be treated as a map $A^N : T^0(L\times\mathbb{R})\to T^0(L\times\mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$\Phi_* A^N(u_{(p,t)}) = A^{N_{(p,t)}}_{L_t}(\Phi_* u) = -\left(\nabla^\Phi_u N\right)^{\top_t}.$$

Hence

$$\tilde g(A^N(u),v) = -g(\nabla^\Phi_u N, \Phi_* v),\quad u,v\in T^0(L\times\mathbb{R}).$$

Moreover, the derivative of A^N is defined as follows

$$(\nabla_u A)^N(v) = \nabla^0_u(A^N(v)) - A^{\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_u N}(v) - A^N(\nabla^0_u v),\quad u,v\in T^0(L\times\mathbb{R}).$$

Then, the Codazzi equation involving connection ∇^Φ can be written as follows

$$\Phi_*(\nabla_u A)^N(v) = (R^\Phi(u,v)N)^\top - (\nabla^\Phi_u\nabla^\Phi_v N)^\top - \Phi_* A^N(\nabla^0_u v), \tag{5.4}$$

where $u,v\in TL$ and $N\in\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L$.

5.2. **Variation of the shape operator.** Now we are ready to derive the formula for the variation of the coefficients of the shape operator. Let $V \in \Gamma(\Phi^{-1}TM)$ be the variation field, i.e. $V = \Phi_* \frac{d}{dt}$. Decompose V into two components $V^\top \in \Gamma(\Phi^{-1}T^\top L)$ and $V^\perp \in \Gamma(\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L)$. By the Proposition 5.1 there is the unique vector field $V_0 \in \Gamma(T^0(L \times \mathbb{R}))$ such that $\Phi_* V_0 = V^\top$. Let

$$V' = \frac{d}{dt} - V_0 \in \Gamma(T(L \times \mathbb{R})).$$

Notice that

$$\Phi_* V' = \Phi_* \left(\frac{d}{dt} - V_0 \right) = V - V^\top = V^\perp.$$

Let $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ be sections of $\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L$ of orthonormal vector fields. Moreover, let (e_i) be sections of $T^0(L \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $(\Phi_* e_i)$ is an orthonormal basis on each submanifold L_t. We will compute the variation of

$$h^\alpha_{ij} = \tilde{g}(A^{e_\alpha}(e_i), e_j) = -g(\nabla^\Phi_{e_i} e_\alpha, \Phi_* e_j) \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 5.3. *Fix a point $(p,t) \in L \times \mathbb{R}$. Choose an orthonormal basis (e_α) of $(\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L)_{(p,t)}$ and an orthonormal basis (e_i) of T_pL. Extend these bases locally such that at (p,t)*

$$\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{\frac{d}{dt}} e_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{\Phi,\top}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \Phi_* e_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \text{ and } i. \tag{5.6}$$

Then at $(p,0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} h^\alpha_{ij} = &- \sum_\beta V_\beta (R_{\alpha\beta})_{ji} + \sum_{k,\beta} h^\alpha_{jk} h^\beta_{ik} V_\beta \\ &+ \sum_k V_k \left((\nabla_{e_k} A)_\alpha \right)_{ij} + \left(((\nabla^{\Phi,\perp})^2 V^\perp)_{ij} \right)_\alpha , \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where X_α is the α–th coordinate of $X \in T^\perp_p L$ with respect to the basis (e_α) and B_{ij} is the ij–th coefficient of the operator $B \in \mathrm{End}(T_pL)$ with respect to the basis (e_i).

Proof. By the definition of h^α_{ij} and assumption (5.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} h^\alpha_{ij} &= -g(\nabla^\Phi_{\frac{d}{dt}} (\nabla^\Phi_{e_i} e_\alpha)^\top, \Phi_* e_j) \\ &= -g(\nabla^\Phi_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^\Phi_{e_i} e_\alpha, \Phi_* e_j) + g(\nabla^\Phi_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i} e_\alpha, \Phi_* e_j) \\ &= -g(R^\Phi(\frac{d}{dt}, e_i) e_\alpha), \Phi_* e_j) - g(\nabla^\Phi_{e_i} \nabla^\Phi_{\frac{d}{dt}} e_\alpha, \Phi_* e_j) - g(\nabla^\Phi_{[\frac{d}{dt}, e_i]} e_\alpha, \Phi_* e_j) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i} e_\alpha, \nabla^\Phi_{\frac{d}{dt}} \Phi_* e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the assumption (5.6) and Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{[\frac{d}{dt},e_i]}e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j) &= -g(e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{[\frac{d}{dt},e_i]}\Phi_*e_j)\\ &= -g(e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}\Phi_*[\frac{d}{dt},e_i])\\ &= g((\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha)^\top,\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}}\Phi_*e_i-\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}\Phi_*\frac{d}{dt})\\ &= -g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha,(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}V)^\top). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, again using (5.6) and Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij} &= -g(R(V,\Phi_*e_i)e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j)-g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)+g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha,(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}V)^\top)\\ &\quad -g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}V). \end{aligned}$$

By Codazzi formula (5.4), Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Phi_*(\nabla_{V_0}A)^{e_\alpha}(e_i),\Phi_*e_j) &= g(R(\Phi_*e_i,V^\top)e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j)-g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{V_0}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)\\ &\quad +g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{V_0}\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j)+g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi,\top}_{e_i}V^\top)\\ &= -g(R(V^\top,\Phi_*e_i)e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j)-g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)\\ &\quad +g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{V'}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)-g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}V^\top)\\ &\quad +g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi,\top}_{e_i}V^\top). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)$ from above formula to $\frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij} &= -g(R(V^\perp,\Phi_*e_i)e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j)+g(\Phi_*(\nabla_{V_0}A)^{e_\alpha}e_i,\Phi_*e_j)\\ &\quad -g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{V'}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)+g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}V^\top)-g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi,\top}_{e_i}V^\top)\\ &\quad +g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha,(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}V)^\top)-g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}V)\\ &= -g(R(V^\perp,\Phi_*e_i)e_\alpha,\Phi_*e_j)+g(\Phi_*(\nabla_{V_0}A)^{e_\alpha}e_i,\Phi_*e_j)\\ &\quad +g((\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}e_\alpha)^\top,(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}V^\perp)^\top)-g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_i}(\nabla^{\Phi}_{V'}e_\alpha)^\top,\Phi_*e_j)-g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}V^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

The last two elements, by Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.1, sum up to

$$\begin{aligned} &-e_ig(e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_j}V^\perp)+g(\nabla^{\Phi}_{V'}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\top}_{e_i}\Phi_*e_j)-g(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi}_{e_j}V^\perp)\\ &= g(e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_i}\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{e_j}V^\perp)-g(e_\alpha,\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{\nabla^0_{e_i}e_j}V^\perp)\\ &= g(e_\alpha,(\nabla^{\Phi,\perp})^2_{e_i,e_j}V^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired formula. □

Remark 5.4. Notice that we may write (5.7) in the more invariant way as

$$\frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij}=-\sum_\beta V_\beta(R_{\alpha\beta})_{ji}+\sum_\beta V_\beta(A_\beta A_\alpha)_{ij}+((\nabla_{V_0}A)_\alpha)_{ij}+(((\nabla^{\Phi,\perp})^2V^\perp)_{ij})_\alpha.$$

This formula has been already obtained by several authors [16, 10], however, it was proved with the use of moving frames.

5.3. **Variation of generalized extrinsic curvatures.** In the previous subsection we derived the formula for the differential of the shape operator corresponding to the fixed vector field e_α, which was α–th component of the basis of the bundle $\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L$. Now we pass to the variation of σ_u. To get rid of the choice of (e_α) and in order to obtain the desired formula, we consider the frame bundle approach, as in the third section.

Let P be the bundle over $L \times \mathbb{R}$ of orthonormal frames of $\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L$. Each element of P is the triple (p, t, e), where $(p, t) \in L \times \mathbb{R}$ and e is an orthonormal basis of $\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L$. Moreover, let E be the bundle over P with the fiber $E_{(p,t,e)} = (\Phi^{-1}T^\top L)_{(p,t)}$. In other words, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \hookrightarrow & \Phi^{-1}TL \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_E}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow[\pi_P]{} & L \times \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Notice that E is the pull–back bundle with respect to π_P of the bundle $\Phi^{-1}T^\top L$. By Proposition 5.1 the bundle E can be treated as the pull–back bundle of $T^0(L \times \mathbb{R})$. We define, analogously as in the previous sections, the bundle E' over P with the fibers of $\Phi^{-1}T^\perp L$. As vector spaces

$$E_{(p,t,e)} \oplus E'_{(p,t,e)} = (\Phi^{-1}TM)_{(p,t,e)} = T_pM.$$

The shape operator of L induces the family of operators A_α as follows

$$A_\alpha(p, t, e) = A^{e_\alpha}_{(p,t)} : T^0(L \times \mathbb{R}) \to T^0(L \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus $A_\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(E))$. Moreover, the symmetric function σ_u of the system $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_q)$ is the function on P,

$$\sigma_u(p, t, e) = \sigma_u(A^{e_1}_{(p,t)}, \ldots, A^{e_q}_{(p,t)})$$

and the Generalized Newton transformation T_u is the section of $\mathrm{End}(E)$.

The following Proposition is crucial the study of u–minimality.

Proposition 5.5. *The horizontal lift of the vector $\frac{d}{dt}$ to the bundle P evaluated on a generalized symmetric function σ_u at $t = 0$ equals*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \sigma_u = g(\mathbf{R}_u, V) + \sigma_u g(H_L, V) \circ \pi_P - g(\mathbf{S}_u, V) + V_0^h \sigma_u + L_u(V^\perp \circ \pi_P), \tag{5.8}$$

where H_L is the mean curvature of L.

Proof. By (3.2) we have

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \sigma_u = \sum_\alpha \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(\nabla^E_{\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h} A\right)_\alpha \cdot T_{\alpha^\flat(u)}\right).$$

Fix a point $p \in L$. Choose an orthonormal basis (e_i) of T_pL and extend locally such that $\nabla^{\Phi,\top}\Phi_* e_i = 0$ for all i. Fix a basis $(p, e) \in P$. We wish to compute

$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_u(\gamma^h_{(p,e)}(t))$, where $\gamma^h_{(p,e)}$ is a horizontal lift of the integral curve γ of $\frac{d}{dt}$. Thus along γ, $\nabla^{\Phi,\perp}_{\frac{d}{dt}} e_\alpha = 0$ for all α. Therefore assumption (5.6) of Proposition 5.3 is satisfied. Then by (8.1) in the Appendix

$$\left(\left(\nabla^E_{\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h} A\right)_\alpha\right)_{ij} = \frac{d}{dt} h^\alpha_{ij}.$$

where A_{ij} denotes the coefficients of A with respect to (e_i). By Proposition 5.3 and the above considerations we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \sigma_u &= \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\nabla^E_{\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h} A\right)_\alpha \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right) = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} \frac{d}{dt} h^\alpha_{ij} \cdot (T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})_{ji} \\ &= -\sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} V_\beta (R_{\alpha\beta})_{ji} (T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})_{ij} + \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha,\beta} h^\alpha_{jk} h^\beta_{ik} V_\beta (T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})_{ji} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} V_k((\nabla_{V_k} A)_\alpha)_{ij} (T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})_{ji} + \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (((V^{\Phi,\perp})^2 V^\perp)_{ij})_\alpha (T_{\alpha_\flat(u)})_{ji}.\end{aligned}$$

Invariantly,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \sigma_u = g(\mathbf{R}_u, V) + \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(A^{V^\perp} A^\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}) + \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}((\nabla_{V_0} A)^{e_\alpha} T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}) + L_u(V^\perp).$$

Moreover (see the Appendix and (3.2))

$$\sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}\left((\nabla_{V_0} A)^{e_\alpha} \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right) = \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\nabla^E_{V_0^h} A\right)_\alpha \cdot T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}\right) = V_0^h \sigma$$

and, by the properties of generalized Newton transformation (Proposition 2.1),

$$\sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(A^{V^\perp} A_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}) = \operatorname{tr}(A^{V^\perp}(\sigma_u I - T_u)) = \sigma_u g(H_L, V) - \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(A^{V^\perp} T_u).$$

Thus (5.8) holds. □

Let us turn to the main considerations of the article, namely, let us compute the variation of σ_u. Put $P_t = \pi_P^{-1}(\cdot, t)$. Then, differentiating under the integral sign (see Appendix) at $t = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{P_t} \sigma_u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_t} &= \frac{d}{dt}\int_{L_t}\int_{P_{(p,t)}} \sigma_u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_{(p,t)}} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{L_t} \\ &= \int_L \int_{P_{(p,0)}} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \sigma_u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_{(p,0)}} \, d\mathrm{vol}_L \\ &+ \int_L \int_{P_{(p,0)}} \sigma_u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_{(p,0)}} \, d\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{vol}_{L_t}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{vol}_{L_t} = (-V_0(\cdot) - g(H_L, V))\mathrm{vol}_L,$$

we have by Proposition 5.5, (4.1) and again by Proposition 8.1 in the Appendix

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{P_t}\sigma_u\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_t} &= \int_{P_0}(g(\mathbf{R}_u,V)-g(\mathbf{S}_u,V))\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_0}\\ &\quad+\int_{P_0}V_0^h\sigma_u\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_0}-\int_L V_0\int_{P_{(p,0)}}\sigma_u\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_{(p,0)}}\\ &=\int_{P_0}g(\mathbf{R}_u,V)-g(\mathbf{S}_u,V))\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\int_{P_0}g(\mathbf{R}_u,V)\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_0}=\int_L g(R_u,V)\,d\mathrm{vol}_L,$$

and

$$\int_{P_0}g(\mathbf{S}_u,V)\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_0}=\int_L g(S_u,V)\,d\mathrm{vol}_L.$$

Finally,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{P_t}\sigma_u\,d\mathrm{vol}_{P_t}\right)_{t=0}=\int_L g(R_u-S_u,V)\,d\mathrm{vol}_L.$$

Thus we have the following main result of the article.

Theorem 5.6. *The submanifold L is u–minimal if and only if*

$$R_u=S_u. \tag{5.9}$$

In particular, assuming M is of constant sectional curvature c, then L is u–minimal if and only if

$$c(n+1-|u|)H_u=S_u,\quad n=\dim L. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. The first part follows directly by the above considerations. For the second part it suffices to notice that for M of constant sectional curvature, by Proposition 2.1,

$$\mathbf{R}_u=\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\mathrm{tr}(c\delta_{\alpha\beta}I\cdot T_{\alpha\flat(u)})e_\beta=c\sum_\alpha\mathrm{tr}(T_{\alpha\flat(u)})e_\alpha=c(n+1-|u|)\mathbf{H}_u. \qquad \square$$

6. Examples of u–minimal submanifolds

In this section we give examples of u–minimal submanifolds for certain choices of multi–index u. Adopt the notation from the Section 3.

Example 1. Totally geodesic submanifolds are clearly u–minimal for all possible choices of $u\in\mathbb{N}^q$.

Example 2. Let $u=0=(0,\dots,0)$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{S}_0=\sum_\alpha\mathrm{tr}(A_\alpha)e_\alpha=H\circ\pi_P$$

and, clearly $\mathbf{R}_u=0$. Thus 0–minimality is equivalent to classical notion of minimality of a submanifold.

Example 3. Let L be a totally umbilical codimension q submanifold in the space form M^{n+q} with sectional curvature c. Then

$$A_\alpha = \frac{1}{n}\lambda_\alpha \mathrm{Id}, \quad \lambda_\alpha = H_\alpha = g(H, e_\alpha),$$

where H is a mean curvature of L. By the multinomial theorem the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ equals

$$\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t}) = \left(1 + t_1\frac{\lambda_1}{n} + \ldots + t_q\frac{\lambda_q}{n}\right)^n = \sum_{|u|\leq n} \frac{n!}{n^{|u|}(n-|u|)!u!}\mathbf{t}^u\boldsymbol{\lambda}^u,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q)$. Hence

$$\sigma_u = \frac{n!}{n^{|u|}(n-|u|)!u!}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^u.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{H}_u = \sum_\alpha \sigma_{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_\alpha = \frac{n!}{n^{|u|-1}(n+1-|u|)!u!}\sum_\alpha u_\alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\alpha_\flat(u)} e_\alpha$$

and, by Proposition 2.1,

$$\mathbf{S}_u = \sum_\alpha \mathrm{tr}(A_\alpha T_u)e_\alpha = \frac{n-|u|}{n}\sigma_u \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha = \frac{n!}{n^{|u|+1}(n-1-|u|)!u!}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^u H \circ \pi_P.$$

Thus by Theorem 5.6, L is u–minimal if and only if for any $p \in L$

$$cn^2 \int_{P_p} \sum_\alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\alpha_\flat(u)} u_\alpha e_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p} = (n-|u|)(n+1-|u|)\left(\int_{P_p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}\right) H(p). \tag{6.1}$$

If M is flat (i.e., $c = 0$) and L is minimal, then L is u–minimal for all u. Moreover, evaluating the inner product of both sides with H_L we get

$$(cn^2|u| - (n-|u|)(n+1-|u|)|H(p)|^2)\left(\int_{P_p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}\right) = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

Hence, if $\int_{P_p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^u \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}$ is not identically equal to zero and H is not of constant length, then L is not u–minimal for any choice of u.

Taking the inner product of both sides of (6.1) with a vector field $X \in \Gamma(T^\perp L)$ orthogonal to H we get

$$c\int_{P_p} \sum_\alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\alpha_\flat(u)} u_\alpha \mu_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p} = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where $X = \sum_\alpha \mu_\alpha e_\alpha$.

Assume now $u_\alpha = k > 1$ for all α. Since each element $\lambda_\alpha\mu_\alpha$ is invariant under tha change of the basis (e_α) and the integrals

$$\int_{P_p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\alpha_\flat \alpha_\flat(u)} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p}$$

do not depend on α, denoting them by $d(p)$ we obtain

$$\int_{P_p} \sum_\alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\alpha_\flat(u)} \mu_\alpha \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_p} = qd(p)\sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha\mu_\alpha = qd(p)g(X,H)_p = 0.$$

Thus (6.3) holds. Concluding, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. *Let L be a totally umbilical codimension q submanifold in the space form M^{n+q} with sectional curvature c. If one of the following conditions hold*

(1) *$c = 0$ and L is minimal, u is arbitrary,*
(2) *$c = 0$ and $|u| = n$,*
(3) *$u = (k, k, \dots, k)$, $k > 1$, and L is minimal,*
(4) *$u = (k, k, \dots, k)$, $k > 1$, and $(n - qk)(n + 1 - qk)|H|^2 = cqkn^2$,*

then L is u–minimal.

Example 4. Let us derive the formula for the u–minimality in the first nontrivial case, namely, for $u = \beta^\sharp\beta^\sharp(0)$, where $\beta^\sharp(v)$ denotes the multi–index obtained from v by adding 1 on α–th coordinate. For simplicity, assume moreover that M is of constant sectional curvature c. By the properties of the Generalized Newton transformation we have

$$T_u = \sigma_u I - \sigma_{\beta^\sharp(0)} A_\beta + A_\beta^2,$$

where

$$\sigma_u = \frac{1}{2}\left(g(H, e_\beta)^2 - |A_\beta|^2\right), \quad \sigma_{\beta^\sharp(0)} = g(H, e_\beta).$$

Therefore, after some computations,

$$\mathbf{H}_u = g(H, e_\beta) e_\beta,$$

$$\mathbf{S}_u = \frac{1}{2}\left(g(H, e_\beta)^2 - |A_\beta|^2\right) H \circ \pi_P - g(H, e_\beta) \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha A_\beta) e_\alpha + \sum_\alpha \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha A_\beta^2) e_\alpha.$$

Notice that the integrals of $\mathbf{H}_u$ and $\mathbf{S}_u$ do not depend on the choice of β. Therefore, we may consider the following sections

$$\sum_\beta \mathbf{H}_{\beta^\sharp\beta^\sharp(0)} = H \circ \pi_P$$

and

$$\sum_\beta \mathbf{S}_{\beta^\sharp\beta^\sharp(0)} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(|H|^2 - |B|^2)H - \operatorname{tr}(B \circ A^H) + \operatorname{tr}(B \circ A^2)\right) \circ \pi_P,$$

where $A^2 = \sum_\beta A_\beta^2$ and the composition means substituting on one of the factors, i.e., $B \circ A^H = B(A^H, \cdot) = B(\cdot, A^H)$, etc. Thus the condition for u–minimality is equivalent to the following equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(|H|^2 - |B|^2) - c(n-1)\right) H - \operatorname{tr}(B \circ A^H) + \operatorname{tr}(B \circ A^2) = 0.$$

Assuming, additionally, that L is minimal the above formula simplifies to

$$\operatorname{tr}(B \circ A^2) = 0.$$

Consider the second standard immersion $\varphi : S^2(1) \mapsto S^4(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ [12]. Then $S^2(1)$ is minimal but not totally geodesic. It was shown in [15] that the second fundamental form B of this immersion satisfies the following relation

$$g(B(X, Z), B(Y, Z)) = g(X, Y) g(Z, Z), \quad X, Y, Z \in TS^2(1).$$

Notice that the operator A^2, by the above formula, equals

$$A^2X = \sum_{j,k} g(B(X,e_k),B(e_j,e_k))e_j = 2\sum_j g(X,e_j)e_j = 2X.$$

Thus $A^2 = 2I$ and, therefore, $\mathrm{tr}(B\circ A^2) = 2\mathrm{tr}B = 2H = 0$. Finally, the second standard immersion is $\beta^\sharp\beta^\sharp(0)$–minimal for any choice of β.

Example 5. Let L be a codimension one submanifold in N where N is a codimension $q-1$ totally umbilical submanifold in M of dimension $n+q$. We will compute the shape operator, symmetric functions and u–minimality condition for L inside M in the direction of N.

Assume that L is transversally orientable in N and N is transversally orientable in M. Denote by ξ normal unit vector to N and by $\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_{q-1}$ the orthonormal basis normal to N inside M. By the assumption, $A^{\eta_\alpha} = \lambda_\alpha I$ for some functions λ_α. Moreover, A^ξ is the shape operator of L inside N. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha &= g(\xi,e_\alpha)A^\xi + \sum_a g(\eta_a,e_\alpha)A^{\eta_a} = \xi_\alpha A^\xi + \sum_a \lambda_a(\eta_a)_\alpha I \\ &= \xi_\alpha A^\xi + \mu_\alpha I, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_\alpha = \sum_a \lambda_a(\eta_a)_\alpha$ (here a ranges from 1 to $q-1$). Put, as usual, $\mathbf{A} = (A_1,\ldots,A_q)$. Then, by Proposition 2.2,

$$\sigma_u(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{(n-|u|)!}\sum_{v\le u}\binom{n-|u|+|v|}{v}\binom{|u|-|v|}{u-v}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{u-v}\boldsymbol{\mu}^v\sigma_{|u|-|v|}(A^\xi), \tag{6.4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_q)$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_q)$. Moreover, it is not hard to see, using induction, that

$$T_u = \sum_{v\le u}\sum_{w\le u-v} C_{u,v,w}\boldsymbol{\xi}^v\boldsymbol{\mu}^w\sigma_{u-v-w}(\mathbf{A})(A^\xi)^{|v|},$$

where $C_{u,v,w}$ is some constant depending only on multi–indices u,v,w.

We will derive the formula for the N–component of the condition of u–minimality by the straightforward calculations. Adopt the notation from the previous sections. First, notice that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \xi_\alpha = (\nabla_V\xi)_\alpha.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_V\xi)_\alpha\circ\pi_P = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\xi\right)\circ\pi &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h(\xi\circ\pi_P) = \sum_\alpha\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h(\xi_\alpha e_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_\alpha\left(\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h\xi_\alpha\right)e_\alpha + \sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha\nabla^E_{(\frac{d}{dt})^h}e_\alpha \\ &= \sum_\alpha\left(\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h\xi_\alpha\right)e_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, differentiating (6.4), we get

$$(n-|u|)!\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^h \sigma_u = \sum_{v\le u} C_v \left(\sum_\alpha \boldsymbol{\xi}^{u-\alpha^\sharp(v)}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha_\flat(v)}((\nabla_V\xi)_\alpha\mu_\alpha + \xi_\alpha(\nabla_V\mu)_\alpha)\right) \cdot \sigma_{|u|-|v|}(A^\xi)\circ\pi_P + \sum_{v\le u} C_v\boldsymbol{\xi}^{u-v}\boldsymbol{\mu}^v\left(\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_{|u|-|v|}(A^\xi)\right)\circ\pi_P,$$

where $C_v = \binom{n-|u|+|v|}{v}\binom{|u|-|v|}{u-v}$ and V is the variation field. We assumed V is tangent to N, since we only deformed L inside N.

7. Final remarks

Let us give some remarks about generalized extrinsic curvatures – for which cases they vanish, the relations between them and theirs correspondence with extrinsic curvatures defined and considered by other authors.

7.1. **Relations between generalized extrinsic curvatures.** First, for indices $1 \le \alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_k \le q$, consider a map $F_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k} : P \to P$ which maps vector e_{α_i} to $-e_{\alpha_i}$. Then

$$\sigma_u(F_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k}(p,e)) = (-1)^{u_{\alpha_1}+\ldots+u_{\alpha_k}}\sigma_u(p,e).$$

Therefore the following two conditions hold (compare [3]):

- if $G = O(q)$ and at least one of indices $u_1,\ldots,u_q$ is odd, then $\hat\sigma_u = 0$,
- if $G = SO(q)$ and there is one index odd and one even, then $\hat\sigma_u = 0$.

It follows, that if all indices $u_1,\ldots,u_q$ are even then σ_u is not, in general, equal to zero. Thus the first nontrivial case is $u = \alpha^\sharp\alpha^\sharp(0)$ (compare Example 4).

Moreover, consider the map $H_\tau : P \to P$, where $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_q$ is a permutation of q elements, which permutes the elements in the basis,

$$H_\tau(p, e_1, \ldots, e_q) = (p, e_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, e_{\tau(q)}).$$

Then, looking at the both sides of the characteristic polynomial of the system $\mathbf{A} = (A_1,\ldots,A_q)$ we conclude that

$$\sigma_u(H_\tau(p,e)) = \sigma_{u\circ\tau^{-1}}(p,e).$$

Integrating both sides over P we obtain the following relations:

- if $G = O(q)$, then $\hat\sigma_u = \hat\sigma_{u\circ\tau}$ for all permutations $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_q$,
- if $G = SO(q)$, then $\hat\sigma_u = \hat\sigma_{u\circ\tau}$ for all even permutations $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_q^{\text{even}}$.

Therefore, instead of $\hat\sigma_u$ we may consider quantities

$$\hat\sigma_u = \frac{1}{q!}\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_q}\hat\sigma_{u\circ\tau} \qquad \text{for } G = O(q),$$

$$\hat\sigma_u = \frac{1}{(q-1)!}\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_q^{\text{even}}}\hat\sigma_{u\circ\tau} \qquad \text{for } G = SO(q).$$

Notice, that in particular $\alpha^\sharp\alpha^\sharp(0)$–minimality is equivalent to being a critical point of the variation of $\frac{1}{q}\left(\hat\sigma_{(2,0,\ldots,0,0)} + \ldots + \hat\sigma_{(0,0,\ldots,0,2)}\right)$ (compare Example 4).

7.2. **Relation between σ_u's and the symmetric functions from the literature.** In [14, 10] the following symmetric functions were considered:

$$S_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_r} \delta^{i_1,\ldots,i_r}_{j_1,\ldots,j_r} g(B_{i_1j_1}, B_{i_2j_2}) \ldots g(B_{i_{r-1}j_{r-1}}, B_{i_rj_r})$$

for r even, and

$$\mathbf{S}_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_r} \delta^{i_1,\ldots,i_r}_{j_1,\ldots,j_r} g(B_{i_1j_1}, B_{i_2j_2}) \ldots g(B_{i_{r-2}j_{r-2}}, B_{i_{r-1}j_{r-1}}) B_{i_rj_r},$$

where $B_{ij} = B(e_i, e_j)$ and $\delta^{i_1,\ldots,i_r}_{j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ is a generalized Kronecker symbol. One can show that definitions of S_r and $\mathbf{S}_r$ do not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis (e_i). S_r is a function on L, whereas $\mathbf{S}_r$ is a vector field on L. Notice, that S_r can be written in the following way

$$S_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_r;j_1,\ldots,j_r} \delta^{i_1,\ldots,i_r}_{j_1,\ldots,j_r} \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{\frac{r}{2}}} h^{\alpha_1}_{i_1j_1} h^{\alpha_1}_{i_2j_2} \ldots h^{\alpha_{\frac{r}{2}}}_{i_{r-1}j_{r-1}}, h^{\alpha_{\frac{r}{2}}}_{i_rj_r}.$$

Moreover, the above definition does not depend on the choice of the basis (e_α). It was shown in [3] that S_r is a combination of σ_u as follows

$$S_r = \sum_{u \in 2\mathbb{N}^q; |u|=r} \binom{\frac{r}{2}}{\frac{u}{2}} \binom{r}{u}^{-1} \sigma_u.$$

The left hand side is a function on M, whereas the right hand side is a function on P. Thus the right hand side is constant on each fiber P_p. In particular,

$$\sum_\alpha \sigma_{\alpha^\sharp \alpha^\sharp(0)} = S_2$$

is constant on each fiber P_p (see Example 4).

For the case of $\mathbf{S}_r$ we have, by the results in [3] and [10], that

$$\mathbf{S}_{r+1} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{\alpha, |u| \in 2\mathbb{N}^q; |u|=r} \binom{\frac{r}{2}}{\frac{u}{2}} \binom{r}{u}^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}) e_\alpha.$$

Cao and Li [10] defined r–minimality as vanishing of $\mathbf{S}_{r+1}$ for r even. Thus, equivalently,

$$\sum_{u \in 2\mathbb{N}^q; |u|=r} \binom{\frac{r}{2}}{\frac{u}{2}} \binom{r}{u}^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(A_\alpha T_{\alpha_\flat(u)}) = 0$$

for all α. By Proposition 2.1 this implies

$$\sum_{u \in 2\mathbb{N}^q; |u|=r} \binom{\frac{r}{2}}{\frac{u}{2}} \binom{r}{u}^{-1} \sigma_u = 0.$$

8. Appendix: Differentiation and integration on frame bundles

We recall necessary definitions and facts concerning differentiation and integration on principal and vector bundles. In particular, we recall the formula for the differentiation under the integral sign. For details and proofs see [3].

Let $\pi_P : P \to M$ be a principal bundle with a compact structure group G. Denote by h_G the (normalized) Haar measure on G. Then, we may introduce the integral over any fiber $P_x = \pi_P^{-1}(x)$, $x \in M$, by

$$\int_{P_x} f(u)\, du = \int_G f(u_0 g)\, dh_G(g),$$

where u_0 is a fixed element of P_x. By the invariance of the Haar measure it follows that the above integral is independent of the choice of u_0. Thus, any function $f : P \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a function $\hat{f} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ by integrating on the fibers,

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{P_x} f(u)\, du, \quad x \in M.$$

Assume g is a Riemannian metric on M and let ∇ be the Levi–Civita connection on M. Let $\mathcal{V} = \ker \pi_{P*}$ be the vertical distribution and $\mathcal{H}$ the horizontal distribution induced by ∇. Then, each vector $X \in T_x M$ admits unique horizontal lift $X^h_u \in \mathcal{H}_u$, where $\pi_P(u) = x$.

Let, moreover, $\pi_F : F \to M$ be a vector bundle over M with the connection ∇^F. Consider a pull–back bundle $E = \pi_P^{-1} F$ (over P), $(\pi_P^{-1} F)_u = F_{\pi_P(u)}$. There is a unique connection ∇^E in E such that

$$\nabla^E_W (Z \circ \pi_P) = \left(\nabla^F_{\pi_{P*} W} Z\right) \circ \pi_P, \quad Z \in \Gamma(F), W \in TP.$$

(see [6]). In particular,

$$\nabla^E_{X^h} (Z \circ \pi_P) = \left(\nabla^F_X Z\right) \circ \pi_P, \quad Z \in \Gamma(F), X \in TM.$$

Analogously as above, for any section $Y \in \Gamma(E)$ we may define a section $\hat{Y} \in \Gamma(F)$ by differentiating the coefficients of Y with respect to any basis (E_a) of F,

$$\hat{Y}(x) = \sum_a \left(\int_{P_x} y_a(u)\, du \right) E_a,$$

where $Y = \sum_a y_a E_a$. One may check that the above definition is independent of the choice of the basis (E_a).

We will give formulas how to differentiate $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{Y}$.

Let $s \in \Gamma(P)$ be a parallel section at a point $x \in M$, i.e., $s_*(T_x M) = \mathcal{H}_x$ or equivalently $s_{*x} X = X^h_{s(x)}$ for all $X \in T_x M$. Hence for any section $Y \in \Gamma(E)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\nabla^E_{X^h} Y\right)_{s(x)} &= \sum_a (X^h y_a)_{s(x)} E_a + \sum_a y_a(s(x)) \left(\nabla^E_{X^h} (E_a \circ \pi_P)\right)_{s(x)} \\ &= \sum_a X(y_a \circ s)_x E_a + \sum_a y_a(s(x)) \left(\nabla^F_X E_a\right)_x \\ &= \left(\nabla^F_X (Y \circ s)\right)_x, \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

where (E_a) are local sections of F forming a basis in F and $Y = \sum_a y_a(E_a \circ \pi_P)$. These considerations imply the formulas for differentiation of $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{Y}$ [3].

Proposition 8.1. *Let $f \in C^\infty(P)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(E)$. The following two relations hold*

$$X\hat{f} = \widehat{X^h f}, \quad i.e., \qquad X\left(\int_{P_x} f \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_x}\right) = \int_{P_x} (X^h f)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_x},$$

$$\nabla_X \hat{Y} = \widehat{\nabla_{X^h} Y}, \quad i.e., \qquad X\left(\int_{P_x} Y \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_x}\right) = \int_{P_x} \left(\nabla^E_{X^h} Y\right) \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_x}.$$

Notice that we use the fact that the projection $\pi_P : P \to M$ is a Riemannian submersion with the natural Riemannian metric on g induced from g and the Killing form on the Lie algebra of the structure group G. Thus, the Fubini theorem

$$\int_P f \, d\mathrm{vol}_P = \int_M \left(\int_{P_x} f \, d\mathrm{vol}_{P_x}\right) d\mathrm{vol}_M$$

holds. Let $Y \in \Gamma(E)$. We define the divergence div_E of Y by the formula

$$\mathrm{div}_E(Y) = \sum_i g(\nabla^E_{e_i^h} Y, e_i \circ \pi_P),$$

where (e_i) is any orthonormal basis on M. One can show that

$$\mathrm{div}_E(\varphi Y) = Y^h \varphi + \varphi \mathrm{div}_E Y$$

for any smooth function φ on P. Moreover, we have the following important integral formula.

Proposition 8.2. *For any $Y \in \Gamma(E)$ we have*

$$\int_P \mathrm{div}_E(Y)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P = \int_M \mathrm{div}_F(\hat{Y})\, d\mathrm{vol}_M.$$

In particular, if M is closed or Y has compact support and $F = TM$, then $\int_P \mathrm{div}_E(Y)\, d\mathrm{vol}_P = 0$.

Analogously to equality (8.1) we have the relation for the divergence,

$$(\mathrm{div}_E Y)_{s(x)} = (\mathrm{div}_F(Y \circ s))_x, \tag{8.2}$$

assuming $s \in \Gamma(P)$ is parallel at x. To conclude, let $\mathrm{grad}_{\mathcal{H}}\varphi$ denote the horizontal gradient of $\varphi \in C^\infty(P)$, i.e., the horizontal vector field such that

$$g(\pi_{P*}\mathrm{grad}_{\mathcal{H}}\varphi, X) = X^h\varphi.$$

The horizontal gradient induces the horizontal hessian $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi)$,

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi)_{X,Y} = g(\nabla^E_{X^h}\mathrm{grad}_{\mathcal{H}}\varphi, Y \circ \pi_P).$$

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank Krzysztof Andrzejewski for fruitful conversations and for careful reading of the manuscript and Wojciech Kozłowski and Paweł Walczak for discussions on this topic.

References

[1] L. J. Alias, A. G. Colares, Uniqueness of spacelike hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvature in generalized Robertson–Walker spacetimes, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 143 (2007), 703–729.

[2] L. J. Alias, S. de Lira, J. M. Malacarne, Constant higher-order mean curvature hypersurfaces in Riemannian spaces, J Inst. of Math. Jussieu 5(4) (2006), 527–562.

[3] K. Andrzejewski, W. Kozłowski, K. Niedziałomski, Generalized Newton transformation and its applications to extrinsic geometry, Asian J. Math., to appear, Arxiv, http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.4754

[4] K. Andrzejewski, P. Walczak, Extrinsic curvatures of distributions of arbitrary codimension, J. Geom. Phys. 60 (2010), no. 5, 708–713.

[5] K. Andrzejewski, P. Walczak, Conformal fields and the stability of leaves with constant higher order mean curvature, Differential Geom. Appl. 29 (2011), no. 6, 723–729.

[6] P. Baird, J. C. Wood, Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds, London Mathematical Society Monograph (N.S.) No. 29, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

[7] J. L. M. Barbosa, A. G. Colares, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r–mean curvature, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 15 (1997), 277–297.

[8] A. Barros, P. Sousa, Compact graphs over a sphere of constant second order mean curvature. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 137(9) (2009), 3105–3114.

[9] F. Brito, A. M. Naveira, Total extrinsic curvature of certain distributions on closed spaces of constant curvature, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 18 (2000), 371–383.

[10] L. Cao, H. Li, r–Minimal submanifolds in space forms, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 32 (2007), 311–341.

[11] L. Cao, H. Li, Variational Problems in Geometry of Submanifolds, Variational problems in geometry of submanifolds. Proceedings of the Eleventh International Workshop on Differential Geometry, 41–71, Kyungpook Nat. Univ., Taegu (2007).

[12] B. Chen, Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type. World Scientific, Singapore (1984).

[13] X. Cheng, H. Rosenberg, Embedded positive constant r–mean curvature hypersurfaces in $M^m \times \mathbb{R}$, An. Acad. Brasil. Cienc. 77 (2005), no. 2, 183–199.

[14] J. Grosjean, Upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on compact submanifolds, Pacific J. Math. 206 (2002), 93–112.

[15] W. Kozłowski, K. Niedzialomski, Conformality of a differential with respect to Cheeger-Gromoll type metrics, Geom. Dedicata 157 (2012), 227–237.

[16] H. Li, Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms, Math. Ann., 305 (1996), 665–672.

[17] R. Reilly, Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms, J. Differential Geom. 8 (1973), 465–477.

[18] R. Reilly, On the first eigenvalue the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space, Comment. Math. Helvetici. 52 (1977), 465–477.

[19] H. Rosenberg, Hypersurfaces of Constant Curvature in Space of Forms, Bull. Sci. Math. (1993), Vol 117, 211–239.

[20] V. Rovenski, Integral formulae for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal distributions. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 9 (2011), no. 3, 558–577.

[21] V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, Integral formulae on foliated symmetric spaces. Math. Ann. 352 (2012), no. 1, 223–237.

[22] J. Simons, Minimal varieties in Riemmannian manifolds. Ann. Math. 2nd Ser. 88(1) (1968), 62–105.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Łódź
ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź
Poland
E-mail address: kamiln@math.uni.lodz.pl